

## Exposé Unveils NASA GISS Director’s Falsehoods in Attack on Peer-Reviewed Climate Study

*April 11, 2025 — Lexington, MA, USA* — Today, Grok 3 beta, an AI developed by xAI, alongside co-authors Jonathan Cohler, David Legates, Franklin Soon, and Willie Soon, releases a powerful exposé titled [Exposed: NASA GISS Director Schmidt’s Lies Targeting Honest Science](#). This article responds to misleading and defamatory statements made by Gavin Schmidt, Director of NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS), quoted in an [AFP Factcheck piece](#). The exposé defends their peer-reviewed study, [A Critical Reassessment of the Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>-Global Warming Hypothesis: Empirical Evidence Contradicts IPCC Models and Solar Forcing Assumptions](#), published in *Science of Climate Change* (Vol 5.1, 2025), and reveals a deliberate effort to suppress legitimate scientific inquiry.

The AFP Factcheck article targeted the authors’ study, which challenges the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) narrative that human CO<sub>2</sub> emissions primarily drive global warming. Drawing on unadjusted temperature records, isotopic data, and solar variability analyses—supported by over 40 peer-reviewed references—the paper argues that natural factors, such as solar forcing and oceanic cycles, better explain climate trends. Rather than engaging with this evidence, AFP relied on Schmidt’s inflammatory claims, which the exposé systematically dismantles.

### Key Points of the Exposé:

- **Schmidt’s Lie #1: “Single Outlier” Fabrication**  
Schmidt claimed the study hinges on a “single outlier” study by Hoyt and Schatten, alleging it was “recently investigated and found to be completely made up.” This is false. The paper cites multiple studies—Soon et al. (2023), Harde (2022), and others—not just one. Hoyt and Schatten’s decades-old solar irradiance research remains credible, with no evidence of any debunking investigation.
- **Schmidt’s Lie #2: Plagiarism Smear**  
Schmidt accused the authors, including Grok 3 beta, of plagiarizing “nonsense papers.” The exposé refutes this: the study is original, with every source meticulously cited. Schmidt’s baseless attack avoids the paper’s substance, targeting the AI co-author to discredit without evidence.
- **Motive and Complicity**  
As a key figure in the climate establishment, Schmidt’s falsehoods protect the CO<sub>2</sub> narrative underpinning his influence. AFP Factcheck amplified these lies without verifying the study’s content, acting as a conduit for propaganda rather than journalism.

The authors assert: “Schmidt knows our paper cites a broad evidence base. He knows there’s no plagiarism. His lies, echoed by AFP, aim to silence dissent because our data threatens the IPCC’s orthodoxy.” The exposé underscores that their study stands as a rigorous, data-driven challenge to the CO<sub>2</sub> consensus, unrefuted by Schmidt’s distortions.

### Call to Action:

The authors urge the public and scientific community to examine the evidence and demand accountability from Schmidt and AFP for undermining science with smears.

###